1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li><li>8</li></ul>	ANTHONY THOMAS CHERNETSKY,  Plaintiff,  CASE NO.: 3:06-CV-00252-RCJ-WGC  v.
9	STATE OF NEVADA, et al., ) ORDER )
10	Defendants. )
11	
12	The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate
13	(ECF #140) entered on August 14, 2013, in which the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court
14	enter an order denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint (ECF #91). The
15	Court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record
16	and has made a review and determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and
17	applicable case law, and good cause appearing, the court hereby
18	ADOPTS AND ACCEPTS the Report and Recommendation of the United States
19	Magistrate Judge (ECF #140).
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint
21	(ECF #91) is DENIED.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED this 17 <sup>TH</sup> day of September, 2013.
23	$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A})$
24	RØBERT C. JOMES
25	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
26	